

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,167	07/11/2001	Kenichiro Suetsugu	43888-112	7945
20277 7590 11/13/2008 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13'TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, THIEM D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 09/889 167 SUETSUGU ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit THIEM PHAN 3729 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12.13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/fi.iall Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

El Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3729

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 10/22/08 has been fully considered and made of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. In claim 12, the claimed language "... said identification information" lack proper antecedent basis; the claimed language "another article" (Claim 12, line 10) is confused as it refers to a single "article" in line 2, which is lead free solder, or to something else; the claimed language "discriminated articles" (Line 12) lack proper antecedent; the claimed language "separate materials" is unclear as it does refer to "a material" in line 8 or something else; the claimed language "said materials" (Line 14) lack antecedent basis; the claimed language "the rest of the article", "the same" and "the same" in line 15 is confusing and unclear; ... At least, the language not only is held to be vague and indefinite, there is no art rejection in the claims and the applicants should do well to amend the claims with respect to the disclosure in proper format to clarify the claimed language and overcome the 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, in order to obtain possible patent coverage.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,167

Art Unit: 3729

### Response to Arguments

 Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 12 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicants should revise these claims completely to define all the limitations with respect to the specification and the drawings to correct the indefinite and structural languages mentioned because the recitations of claims 12 and 13 do not provide clear structural limitations of the recited subject matter, therefore claims 12 and 13 are not given any rejection based on prior art at this point of prosecution. MPEP 2173.06 states: "... where there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of a claim, it would not be proper to reject such a claim on the basis of prior art. As stated in In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 should not be based on considerable speculation about the meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims."

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

This Office Action is a non-Final Office Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4568. The examiner can normally be reached on M & Tu. 6AM - 2PM, and W & Th. 9AM - 5PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,167

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Phan Thiem/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729

November 10, 2008